William Wordsworth
Excerpts from Preface to Lyrical Ballads

Lyrical Ballads, published as a single volume in 1798, then in 1800 as a two-volume set including new poems, is widely regarded as having inaugurated the Romantic Revolution in poetry. The Preface to Lyrical Ballads is considered a central work of Romantic literary theory.

The principal object, then, proposed in these Poems was to choose incidents and situations from common life, and to relate or describe them, throughout, as far as was possible in a selection of language really used by men, and, at the same time, to throw over them a certain colouring of imagination, whereby ordinary things should be presented to the mind in an unusual aspect; and, further, and above all, to make these incidents and situations interesting by tracing in them, truly though not ostentatiously, the primary laws of our nature: chiefly, as far as regards the manner in which we associate ideas in a state of excitement. Humble and rustic life was generally chosen, because, in that condition, the essential passions of the heart find a better soil in which they can attain their maturity, are less under restraint, and speak a plainer and more emphatic language; because in that condition of life our elementary feelings coexist in a state of greater simplicity, and, consequently, may be more accurately contemplated, and more forcibly communicated; because the manners of rural life germinate from those elementary feelings, and, from the necessary character of rural occupations, are more easily comprehended, and are more durable; and, lastly, because in that condition the passions of men are incorporated with the beautiful and permanent forms of nature. The language, too, of these men has been adopted (purified indeed from what appear to be its real defects, from all lasting and rational causes of dislike or disgust) because such men hourly communicate with the best objects from which the best part of language is originally derived; and because, from their rank in society and the sameness and narrow circle of their intercourse, being less under the influence of social vanity, they convey their feelings and notions in simple and unelaborated expressions. Accordingly, such a language, arising out of repeated experience and regular feelings, is a more permanent, and a far more philosophical language, than that which is frequently substituted for it by Poets, who think that they are conferring honour upon themselves and their art, in proportion as they separate themselves from the sympathies of men, and indulge in arbitrary and capricious habits of expression, in order to furnish food for fickle tastes, and fickle appetites, of their own creation. 1

I cannot, however, be insensible to the present outcry against the triviality and meanness, both of thought and language, which some of my contemporaries have occasionally introduced into their metrical compositions; and I acknowledge that this defect, where it exists, is more dishonourable to the Writer’s own character than false refinement or arbitrary innovation, though I should contend at the same time, that it is far less pernicious in the sum of its consequences.
From such verses the Poems in these volumes will be found distinguished at least by one mark of
difference, that each of them has a worthy purpose. Not that I always began to write with a
distinct purpose formerly conceived; but habits of meditation have, I trust, so prompted and
regulated my feelings, that my descriptions of such objects as strongly excite those feelings, will
be found to carry along with them a purpose. If this opinion be erroneous, I can have little right to
the name of a Poet. For all good poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings: and
though this be true, Poems to which any value can be attached were never produced on any
variety of subjects but by a man who, being possessed of more than usual organic sensibility, had
also thought long and deeply. For our continued influxes of feeling are modified and directed by
our thoughts, which are indeed the representatives of all our past feelings; and, as by
contemplating the relation of these general representatives to each other, we discover what is
really important to men, so, by the repetition and continuance of this act, our feelings will be
connected with important subjects, till at length, if we be originally possessed of much sensibility,
such habits of mind will be produced, that, by obeying blindly and mechanically the impulses of
those habits, we shall describe objects, and utter sentiments, of such a nature, and in such
connexion with each other, that the understanding of the Reader must necessarily be in some
degree enlightened, and his affections strengthened and purified.

It has been said that each of these poems has a purpose. Another circumstance must
be mentioned which distinguishes these Poems from the popular Poetry of the day; it is this, that
the feeling therein developed gives importance to the action and situation, and not the action and
situation to the feeling…

Having dwelt thus long on the subjects and aim of these Poems, I shall request the
Reader’s permission to apprise him of a few circumstances relating to their style, in order, among
other reasons, that he may not censure me for not having performed what I never attempted. The
Reader will find that personifications of abstract ideas rarely occur in these volumes; and are
utterly rejected, as an ordinary device to elevate the style, and raise it above prose. My purpose
was to imitate, and, as far as possible, to adopt the very language of men; and assuredly such
personifications do not make any natural or regular part of that language. They are, indeed, a
figure of speech occasionally prompted by passion, and I have made use of them as such; but
have endeavoured utterly to reject them as a mechanical device of style, or as a family language
which Writers in metre seem to lay claim to by prescription. I have wished to keep the Reader in
the company of flesh and blood, persuaded that by so doing I shall interest him. Others who
pursue a different track will interest him likewise; I do not interfere with their claim, but wish to
prefer a claim of my own. There will also be found in these volumes little of what is usually called
poetic diction; as much pains has been taken to avoid it as is ordinarily taken to produce it; this
has been done for the reason already alleged, to bring my language near to the language of men;
and further, because the pleasure which I have proposed to myself to impart, is of a kind very
different from that which is supposed by many persons to be the proper object of poetry. Without being culpably particular, I do not know how to give my Reader a more exact notion of the style in which it was my wish and intention to write, than by informing him that I have at all times endeavoured to look steadily at my subject; consequently, there is I hope in these Poems little falsehood of description, and my ideas are expressed in language fitted to their respective importance. Something must have been gained by this practice, as it is friendly to one property of all good poetry, namely, good sense: but it has necessarily cut me off from a large portion of phrases and figures of speech which from father to son have long been regarded as the common inheritance of Poets. I have also thought it expedient to restrict myself still further, having abstained from the use of many expressions, in themselves proper and beautiful, but which have been foolishly repeated by bad Poets, till such feelings of disgust are connected with them as it is scarcely possible by any art of association to overpower…

Taking up the subject, then, upon general grounds, let me ask, what is meant by the word Poet? What is a Poet? to whom does he address himself? and what language is to be expected from him?—He is a man speaking to men: a man, it is true, endowed with more lively sensibility, more enthusiasm and tenderness, who has a greater knowledge of human nature, and a more comprehensive soul, than are supposed to be common among mankind; a man pleased with his own passions and volitions, and who rejoices more than other men in the spirit of life that is in him; delighting to contemplate similar volitions and passions as manifested in the goings-on of the Universe, and habitually impelled to create them where he does not find them. to these qualities he has added a disposition to be affected more than other men by absent things as if they were present; an ability of conjuring up in himself passions, which are indeed far from being the same as those produced by real events, yet (especially in those parts of the general sympathy which are pleasing and delightful) do more nearly resemble the passions produced by real events, than anything which, from the motions of their own minds merely, other men are accustomed to feel in themselves:—whence, and from practice, he has acquired a greater readiness and power in expressing what he thinks and feels, and especially those thoughts and feelings which, by his own choice, or from the structure of his own mind, arise in him without immediate external excitement.

But whatever portion of this faculty we may suppose even the greatest Poet to possess, there cannot be a doubt that the language which it will suggest to him, must often, in liveliness and truth, fall short of that which is uttered by men in real life, under the actual pressure of those passions, certain shadows of which the Poet thus produces, or feels to be produced, in himself.

However exalted a notion we would wish to cherish of the character of a Poet, it is obvious, that while he describes and imitates passions, his employment is in some degree mechanical,
compared with the freedom and power of real and substantial action and suffering. So that it will be the wish of the Poet to bring his feelings near to those of the persons whose feelings he describes, nay, for short spaces of time, perhaps, to let himself slip into an entire delusion, and even confound and identify his own feelings with theirs; modifying only the language which is thus suggested to him by a consideration that he describes for a particular purpose, that of giving pleasure. Here, then, he will apply the principle of selection which has been already insisted upon. He will depend upon this for removing what would otherwise be painful or disgusting in the passion; he will feel that there is no necessity to trick out or to elevate nature: and, the more industriously he applies this principle, the deeper will be his faith that no words, which his fancy or imagination can suggest, will be to be compared with those which are the emanations of reality and truth.

But it may be said by those who do not object to the general spirit of these remarks, that, as it is impossible for the Poet to produce upon all occasions language as exquisitely fitted for the passion as that which the real passion itself suggests, it is proper that he should consider himself as in the situation of a translator, who does not scruple to substitute excellencies of another kind for those which are unattainable by him; and endeavours occasionally to surpass his original, in order to make some amends for the general inferiority to which he feels that he must submit. But this would be to encourage idleness and unmanly despair. Further, it is the language of men who speak of what they do not understand; who talk of Poetry as of a matter of amusement and idle pleasure; who will converse with us as gravely about a taste for Poetry, as they express it, as if it were a thing as indifferent as a taste for rope-dancing, or Frontiniac or Sherry. Aristotle, I have been told, has said, that Poetry is the most philosophic of all writing: it is so: its object is truth, not individual and local, but general, and operative; not standing upon external testimony, but carried alive into the heart by passion; truth which is its own testimony, which gives competence and confidence to the tribunal to which it appeals, and receives them from the same tribunal. Poetry is the image of man and nature. The obstacles which stand in the way of the fidelity of the Biographer and Historian, and of their consequent utility, are incalculably greater than those which are to be encountered by the Poet who comprehends the dignity of his art. The Poet writes under one restriction only, namely, the necessity of giving immediate pleasure to a human Being possessed of that information which may be expected from him, not as a lawyer, a physician, a mariner, an astronomer, or a natural philosopher, but as a Man. Except this one restriction, there is no object standing between the Poet and the image of things; between this, and the Biographer and Historian, there are a thousand.

Nor let this necessity of producing immediate pleasure be considered as a degradation of the Poet’s art. It is far otherwise. It is an acknowledgement of the beauty of the universe, an acknowledgement the more sincere, because not formal, but indirect; it is a task light and easy
to him who looks at the world in the spirit of love: further, it is a homage paid to the native and
naked dignity of man, to the grand elementary principle of pleasure, by which he knows, and
feels, and lives, and moves. We have no sympathy but what is propagated by pleasure: I would
not be misunderstood; but wherever we sympathize with pain, it will be found that the sympathy
is produced and carried on by subtle combinations with pleasure. We have no knowledge, that
is, no general principles drawn from the contemplation of particular facts, but what has been
built up by pleasure, and exists in us by pleasure alone. The Man of science, the Chemist and
Mathematician, whatever difficulties and disgusts they may have had to struggle with, know and
feel this. However painful may be the objects with which the Anatomist’s knowledge is
connected, he feels that his knowledge is pleasure; and where he has no pleasure he has no
knowledge. What then does the Poet? He considers man and the objects that surround him as
acting and re-acting upon each other, so as to produce an infinite complexity of pain and
pleasure; he considers man in his own nature and in his ordinary life as contemplating this with
a certain quantity of immediate knowledge, with certain convictions, intuitions, and deductions,
which from habit acquire the quality of intuitions; he considers him as looking upon this complex
scene of ideas and sensations, and finding everywhere objects that immediately excite in him
sympathies which, from the necessities of his nature, are accompanied by an overbalance of
enjoyment.

To this knowledge which all men carry about with them, and to these sympathies in which,
without any other discipline than that of our daily life, we are fitted to take delight, the Poet
principally directs his attention. He considers man and nature as essentially adapted to each
other, and the mind of man as naturally the mirror of the fairest and most interesting properties
of nature. and thus the Poet, prompted by this feeling of pleasure, which accompanies him
through the whole course of his studies, converses with general nature, with affections akin to
those, which, through labour and length of time, the Man of science has raised up in himself, by
conversing with those particular parts of nature which are the objects of his studies. The
knowledge both of the Poet and the Man of science is pleasure; but the knowledge of the one
cleaves to us as a necessary part of our existence, our natural and unalienable inheritance; the
other is a personal and individual acquisition, slow to come to us, and by no habitual and direct
sympathy connecting us with our fellow-beings. The Man of science seeks truth as a remote
and unknown benefactor; he cherishes and loves it in his solitude: the Poet, singing a song in
which all human beings join with him, rejoices in the presence of truth as our visible friend and
hourly companion. Poetry is the breath and finer spirit of all knowledge; it is the impassioned
expression which is in the countenance of all Science. Emphatically may it be said of the Poet,
as Shakespeare hath said of man, ‘that he looks before and after.’ He is the rock of defence for
human nature; an upholder and preserver, carrying everywhere with him relationship and love.
In spite of difference of soil and climate, of language and manners, of laws and customs: in
spite of things silently gone out of mind, and things violently destroyed; the Poet binds together by passion and knowledge the vast empire of human society, as it is spread over the whole earth, and over all time. The objects of the Poet's thoughts are everywhere; though the eyes and senses of man are, it is true, his favourite guides, yet he will follow wherever he can find an atmosphere of sensation in which to move his wings. Poetry is the first and last of all knowledge—it is as immortal as the heart of man. If the labours of Men of science should ever create any material revolution, direct or indirect, in our condition, and in the impressions which we habitually receive, the Poet will sleep then no more than at present; he will be ready to follow the steps of the Man of science, not only in those general indirect effects, but he will be at his side, carrying sensation into the midst of the objects of the science itself. The remotest discoveries of the Chemist, the Botanist, or Mineralogist, will be as proper objects of the Poet's art as any upon which it can be employed, if the time should ever come when these things shall be familiar to us, and the relations under which they are contemplated by the followers of these respective sciences shall be manifestly and palpably material to us as enjoying and suffering beings. If the time should ever come when what is now called science, thus familiarized to men, shall be ready to put on, as it were, a form of flesh and blood, the Poet will lend his divine spirit to aid the transfiguration, and will welcome the Being thus produced, as a dear and genuine inmate of the household of man.—It is not, then, to be supposed that any one, who holds that sublime notion of Poetry which I have attempted to convey, will break in upon the sanctity and truth of his pictures by transitory and accidental ornaments, and endeavour to excite admiration of himself by arts, the necessity of which must manifestly depend upon the assumed meanness of his subject.

I have said that poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings: it takes its origin from emotion recollected in tranquillity: the emotion is contemplated till, by a species of reaction, the tranquillity gradually disappears, and an emotion, kindred to that which was before the subject of contemplation, is gradually produced, and does itself actually exist in the mind. In this mood successful composition generally begins, and in a mood similar to this it is carried on; but the emotion, of whatever kind, and in whatever degree, from various causes, is qualified by various pleasures, so that in describing any passions whatsoever, which are voluntarily described, the mind will, upon the whole, be in a state of enjoyment. If Nature be thus cautious to preserve in a state of enjoyment a being so employed, the Poet ought to profit by the lesson held forth to him, and ought especially to take care, that, whatever passions he communicates to his Reader, those passions, if his Reader's mind be sound and vigorous, should always be accompanied with an overbalance of pleasure. Now the music of harmonious metrical language, the sense of difficulty overcome, and the blind association of pleasure which has been previously received from works of rhyme or metre of the same or similar construction, an indistinct perception perpetually renewed of language closely resembling that of real life, and yet, in the circumstance of metre,
differing from it so widely—all these imperceptibly make up a complex feeling of delight, which is
of the most important use in tempering the painful feeling always found intermingled with powerful
descriptions of the deeper passions. This effect is always produced in pathetic and impassioned
poetry; while, in lighter compositions, the ease and gracefulness with which the Poet manages his
numbers are themselves confessedly a principal source of the gratification of the Reader. All that
it is necessary to say, however, upon this subject, may be effected by affirming, what few persons
will deny, that, of two descriptions, either of passions, manners, or characters, each of them
equally well executed, the one in prose and the other in verse, the verse will be read a hundred
times where the prose is read once…

One request I must make of my reader, which is, that in judging these Poems he would
decide by his own feelings genuinely, and not by reflection upon what will probably be the
judgement of others. How common is it to hear a person say, I myself do not object to this style of
composition, or this or that expression, but, to such and such classes of people it will appear
mean or ludicrous! This mode of criticism, so destructive of all sound unadulterated judgement, is
almost universal: let the Reader then abide, independently, by his own feelings, and, if he finds
himself affected, let him not suffer such conjectures to interfere with his pleasure…

* Spelling irregularities are original to the text.